



Now it's official...

# YES, THERE ARE POLICE NO-GO AREAS!

"WE HAVE STRENGTHENED THE POLICE!" cried Mrs. Thatcher in her closing speech to the Tory Annual Conference last month. This was part of a rousing call for the toughening up of 'law and order' in Britain. Predictably, the Prime Minister got a big cheer as she made these firm-sounding assertions. Tory audience are much more impressed with words than with deeds, with brave slogans rather than with facts. It did not seem to occur to many at the conference to look at the reality of Tory policies, which add up, in effect, to the police being weakened in terms of their ability to tackle the frightening crimewave in this country.

For some time our paper has made exposures of the police policy, dictated by the government, of allowing 'no-go' areas, where normal policing operations are suspended in the interests of 'race relations'. Under this policy, police are very often prevented from engaging in pursuit, stopping, questioning, searching and making arrests in situations where members of ethnic minority groups are involved and where friends of the suspects might riot and attack police officers. In effect, this means that there are now parts of Britain where the normal writ of the law does not run — for fear of the West Indian and Asian communities being antagonised.

Always, when we have made these charges, there have been indignant denials from government and senior police spokesmen. Now, however, facts are coming to light which establish clearly that we have been correct and that police no-go areas do indeed exist.

A recent example is in Harlesden, in

OFFICERS MUST 'GET PERMISSION' TO ENTER BLACK MILITANTS' CLUB

## Police barred by no-go pact

POLICE have entered into a pact with a black militant organisation turning their base — a council-owned club — into a virtual no-go area. It has led to officers being unable to pursue wanted men into the stronghold and caused uproar among local councillors.

It is the first time that such an understanding has been publicly acknowledged in Britain.

### Urgent

Behind it lies the fear of a police officer being held hostage by the Black Panthers organisation, whose members model themselves on the U.S. urban terrorist group led by assassinated Black Power leader Malcolm X.

The Panthers took over

EXCLUSIVE  
By CHESTER STERN  
Crime Correspondent

Brent Council's Hilltop Club in North London two years ago. Now police admit that they are powerless to investigate claims about guns, drugs and violent crime associated with the club. Yesterday Scotland Yard said: "We have an agreement that police always ask for a committee member before we go in to the Hilltop Club." Police sources say that the agreement is designed to avoid provoking violence.

When the Panthers took over the Hilltop Club in Harlesden two years ago, they ejected women pensioners who used it for bingo and lunchtime get-togethers.

At the time, Panthers spokesman Louis Miles said 80 per cent of people on the surrounding Stonebridge council estate were black so

the pensioners would have to accept black rule. "If the others don't like it — out," he added.

Recently, amid persistent reports that arms and ammunition were allegedly flaunted openly in the club, Brent chief executive Charles Wood and former council leader Merle Amory called for a crisis meeting with the police.

After the meeting police raided the club looking for weapons but nothing was found.

House

"We have to ask for a committee member before we go in so obviously they can delay until everything is clean," said one police officer. "Of course they speak to a committee member before entering," he said, "you wouldn't expect the police to come crashing into your house without asking you first, would you?"

Yesterday Mr. Wood claimed police were able to enter the club.

"Of course they speak to a committee member before entering," he said, "you wouldn't expect the police to come crashing into your house without asking you first, would you?"

On a second occasion police were delayed at the door of the Hilltop Club long enough to allow a robbery suspect to make his escape.

The Hilltop Club

RAIDED: The Hilltop Club

Last night Panthers leader Louis Miles denied that there were firearms at the club. "We're aware of your racist newspaper and we know you would print trash like that."

Local police, who have been warned never to enter the Hilltop Club alone for fear of being held hostage, told last night of two recent incidents, where pursuit of suspects had been foiled.

In one case a group of men was pursued to the door of the club. They escaped into the sanctuary of the building. One was arrested as he tried to slip out of the back door.

On a second occasion police were delayed at the door of the Hilltop Club long enough to allow a robbery suspect to make his escape.

into a nearby block of flats.

The Panthers have satisfied council requirements by setting up a toddlers group and a creche used local people claim, mainly by their girlfriends.

They are continuing to allow white pensioners to hold a Thursday luncheon club so as to ensure continued council funding.



MEETING: Merle Amory



RULE: Louis Miles

### THE REALITY BEHIND THE SPEECHES

This is a report that appeared in *The Mail* on Sunday of the 'deal' struck by police with black militants in Harlesden, under which officers must seek permission before they go into the Hilltop Club

the London borough of Brent. There, police have entered into a pact with a militant 'Black Power' organisation whereby the latter has been allowed to turn its premises, a local council-owned club, into a virtual no-go area. According to a report in *The Mail* on Sunday, it is feared that this organisation, which calls itself the 'Black Panthers' and is deliberately modelled on the urban terrorist group of the same name in the United States, might take hostage any police officer entering the club to enforce the law.

### POLICE POWERLESS

Police say that they are powerless to investigate the drugs and firearms offences, or the violent crime, associated with the club, which has in effect become a stronghold belonging to the Black Panthers. According to the terms of the shameful deal between police and this violent gangster and would-be terrorist element, police officers are only allowed onto the premises after going to a club committee member to obtain advance permission. This, the first 'no-go' deal of its kind to be publicly acknowledged in mainland Britain, has led to officers being prevented from pursuing criminals who had taken refuge within the club.

The Black Panthers took over Harlesden's Hilltop Club, which belongs to the London borough of Brent, and is sustained with ratepayers' money, about two years ago. Women old-age pensioners, who had been using it for lunchtime get-togethers, were threatened and eventually ejected.

At the time, Black Panthers' spokesman Louis Miles said that, as 80 per cent of the people on the surrounding Stonebridge Estate are black, the pensioners would have to accept black rule. "If the others don't like it," he said, "— out!"

In the end, of course, it is the law-abiding community that has to pay the

asking you first, would you?"

— To which the obvious answer is: that is exactly what you would expect if the police had information that you might have drugs, firearms or other illegal possessions on the premises!

by their political masters, when he said:-

"Even at the end of the Second World War we were a relatively homogeneous society. By historical standards quite suddenly, we have become a multi-

### TOUGH TALK

- We have upheld the rule of law and backed those who enforce it.
- There can be no half-heartedness, no opting out, in the fight against crime and violence.
- We have strengthened the effectiveness of the police in the fight against drug-abuse.

Extracts from the Conservative election manifesto, 1987

Needless to say, when the police did enter the club after having obtained permission from the Black Power mobsters, nothing was found. Said one police officer: "We have to ask a committee member before we go in — so obviously they delay until everything is clean."

### COMMISSIONER SPILLS THE BEANS

Shortly before his retirement as Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police, and presumably because he felt then that he had nothing to lose, Sir Kenneth Newman made it quite plain that this policy of virtual abdication in the face of 'ethnic minority' crime had been imposed on Britain's police forces

ethnic, multi-cultural country. "What this means is that law-enforcement and peace-keeping cannot always be regarded as synonymous or complementary. There are times and places where the two aims conflict and a choice has to be made..."

Quite obviously, just such a choice was made in the case of the Hilltop Club in Harlesden, and the choice was that, in the interests of 'peace-keeping', no effective law-enforcement would be attempted — for quite obviously, as the local police officer quoted made clear, the application by police for 'permission' to enter premises is a warning to the occupants of those premises to remove from them all incriminating items. It is an

(Contd. on back page)

### IRON LADY OR JELLYFISH?



Mrs. Thatcher is fond of making rousing speeches about the need for greater 'law and order' but her record, when examined behind the rhetoric, is pathetic. Under her government and the succession of 'wet' Home Secretaries she has appointed, there has been an increasing capitulation before rioters and thugs



### MORE COMMONWEALTH NONSENSE

THIS YEAR'S Commonwealth conference was, as usual, an exhibition of senselessness and, once again, with South Africa, on edge, being at the centre of the hysteria. The role of the Prime Minister appeared once again to be one of pandering to and appeasing the raving clownishness of the leaders of the Commonwealth's Afro-Asian member states on this issue.

The Afro-Asian lobby was howling for sanctions to be applied by Britain and other Commonwealth nations in order to bring down 'Apartheid' and white rule in South Africa. Disgracefully, some of the prime ministers of white Commonwealth nations supported this agitation. These included both the host of this year's Commonwealth jamboree, 'Conservative' Premier Mulroney of Canada and the leftist, Zionist-leaning Labour leader of Australia Bob Hawke.

the appalling humbug of the whole business can be seen when we realise that those black rulers who shout loudest against South Africa preside themselves over some of the most backward, inefficient and poverty-stricken nations in the world, and rule those nations by methods of barbarity and tyranny far worse than even South Africa's enemies would allege against her.

And none of these black nations would survive for 5 minutes without aid from the white ones they are always hectoring. Not only is Britain a major supplier of such aid, SO INDEED IS HATED SOUTH AFRICA!

As far as our own attitude to South Africa is concerned, we should remember that, not only is one-third of its white population of British descent, but it is also a major trade partner of this country. Yet when the black Commonwealth leaders screamed at Mrs. Thatcher for Britain to apply sanctions, she did not put them firmly in their place. Instead she adopted a wholly defensive and apologetic stance with regard to her policy over South Africa, trying to curry favour with them by hypocritical attacks on 'Apartheid'.

What she should have done was point out that both Britain and South Africa are sovereign states whose internal policies and relations with each other are no business of anyone else, least of all the tin-pot dictators who head the regimes of black Africa, and that, before criticising their betters, these people should clean up the mess in their own backyards.

None of this appeasement saved Mrs. Thatcher from the anger of the black Commonwealth leaders.


All this nonsense simply underlines the fact that the Commonwealth, in the form in which it now exists, has become a ridiculous and expensive farce. It should be wound up before it does more damage, and then Britain should give a lead in forming a new association from out of its white states, who do have genuine common interests — though, it should be said, such a commonsense step will not be possible until these white states have leaders very different from Messrs. Mulroney and Hawke and the far-left comedian of a New Zealand premier Lange.

For the moment, as long as these idiots are in charge, Commonwealth conferences have no useful function whatsoever and should be scrapped.

## As the oil boom fades...

BEHIND the hot-air claims of Mrs. Thatcher and the Tories to have brought about some sort of 'economic miracle' in Britain during their 8-year period of office — claims which certainly won them the last election — there looms harsh reality. As the North Sea oil boom fades into terminal decline, Britain faces the threat of economic disaster. It is estimated that early in the next century oil production will have decreased virtually to zero and will make no further contributions to the nation's wealth. Long before that, the contributions will be vastly reduced.

In actual fact, it could be argued that even the contribution of the oil over past years has been highly dubious. Indeed, one of the Tories' most dramatic economic 'achievements' has been to turn Britain's oil, which should have been a national boon, into a national curse! At the very least, it can be fairly said that at no time has the government acted to ensure that British oil would be used in the genuine long-term interest of the British nation.



**NORTH SEA OIL**  
Will soon be running out, depriving Britain of the only means to keep up her balance of payments

Instead of keeping the oil firmly under British control and carefully husbanding it as a valuable national resource, to be used exclusively for Britain's benefit, the Thatcher Government has allowed multi-national corporations to extract and export North Sea oil at will and at the fastest possible rate, primarily for their own private profit and that of the cosmopolitan banking interests behind them. An additional factor has been the short-term advantage of the government itself, profiting as it has done from its share of oil profits. All this is entirely in keeping with Tory economic doctrine, according to which the main purpose of economic activity is turn a 'fast buck' for the slickest private financial operator. The idea that there exists any such thing as the overall interest of the national community, which override private interests, never enters Tory thinking.

### CUSHIONING EFFECTS OF INDUSTRIAL DECLINE

Of course, quite sizeable profits have accumulated in both private and government hands during the time in which Britain's oil has been used up. But the only effect of these has been to cushion the catastrophic consequences of national industrial decline which Tory policies have not prevented (Indeed it could even be said that they have encouraged it).

It will be recalled how, in keeping with their creed of internationalism and private greed (particularly that of their banker friends in the City), the Tories presided over the hiking of interest rates to record levels, starving the British economy of purchasing power to buy the products of industry and of money to invest in the modernisation of that same industry; the abolition of exchange-controls, giving the financial fraternity the unlimited freedom to export British investment capital to the other end of the earth (especially Asia); the flooding of the home market with foreign imports; the reduction of British firms to dependence on overseas export markets; and the

throwing of 3 million British workers onto the dole. British oil exports have simply been used to plug the trade gap, as Britain has started to run a deficit in manufactured goods. Meanwhile state revenues, from taxes on oil profits, have been burned up in paying out dole money to the 3 million unemployed, condemned to rot in idleness despite the fact that the overwhelming majority of them ask for nothing more than the opportunity to work.

### LEGACY OF TORYISM

Behind all the tinsel and razzamatazz of Mrs. Thatcher's phoney pre-election 'boom', this is the true economic legacy of Toryism. A superficial, patchy and almost certainly short-lived prosperity — mainly concentrated in South East England — has been preserved, mainly by a series of short-term financial and economic shifts and expedients. At the same time, the one really solid economic fact is Britain's decline as an industrial nation.

As far as the Tories are concerned, Britain must remain tied to the international system, the system of unfettered international free trade and investment. Committed to this system, it is only a matter of time before Britain meets utter economic disaster. As her oil exports decrease, she will face a catastrophic balance-of-payments deficit — as long as, as is likely with present trends, she has not developed new export businesses to take the place of oil. Also, by the end of the century it is likely that the Gulf States will have recovered their status as the world's oil monopolists, and will have the power to increase the price of oil at will — oil which by then Britain will be importing in ever-growing quantities.

Tories say we can do nothing about this. Our only hope, according to them, is to continue putting our own money into foreign investments which, by

# BRITAIN FACES ECONOMIC DISASTER



**THE IMPORTS THAT ARE KEEPING MILLIONS IDLE**  
More Japanese cars are lined up to be dumped on Britain. Under the international system we must buy these instead of employing our own workers to make them

earning us foreign currency, will help to balance international payments, while at the same time we should piously hope that we may be able to entice foreigners to carry on putting their money into Britain, whether by buying up British firms or setting up their own operations here — either process leading inevitably to foreign control of Britain's economy and the gradual merging of Britain into a one-world economic set-up dominated by international finance.

### THE ONLY ALTERNATIVE

As an alternative to this, we nationalists say that what is needed for Britain is a **nationalist** economic programme. The whole international system is a racket which sets up international trade as an end in itself, to be carried on for its own sake to the maximum extent possible, instead of a simple exchange

by nations of the products of which they have a surplus for those of which they have a shortage. At the centre of the international system are the bankers, who stand to profit from the continual loans that are necessary to finance this inflated international trade, and from the fluctuating values of national currencies resulting from the same system.

### BRITAIN'S CAPABILITY

Britain is quite capable of producing all the manufactured goods that she needs, and she can eliminate her indebtedness to other nations, in the form of trade deficits, simply by ceasing to buy their manufactures — excluding those manufactures gradually from the British market as our own unemployed are set to work producing the same goods here, until

*Contd. on back page*

## THE LUNATIC WORLD OF INTERNATIONAL FINANCE

LAST MONTH panic selling on the New York Stock Exchange created a rapid downward spiral of share prices all over the world, with the stock of numerous leading British companies, like BP, badly



affected. There has even been some speculation as to whether the world could be heading for a massive economic slump, as in the 1930s.

The danger has not yet passed, and this could indeed happen. If it does, it will demonstrate the utter absurdity of the international financial

**GLITTER AND DECAY**  
In the City (left) vast profits are being made every day by financial wheeler-dealers who contribute nothing to the wealth of the nation. Meanwhile, in our traditional industrial areas (as in the picture below) there is urban dereliction as shipyards and factories stand idle. These contrasts are the fruits of Tory economic doctrine



system as presently constituted.

When the slump came in the Thirties — a time when basically the same system existed — all the basic facilities and capabilities for production remained intact. Factories were not burned or bombed into destruction; nor were they hit by any natural disaster, such as an earthquake.

The workers in those factories were not suddenly incapacitated. They retained their physical and mental faculties intact. The technological means to make high production possible were still present, and increasing all the time.

And the markets were there too: there were the people who wanted to buy what the factories could produce.

The land likewise was not suddenly put out of productivity. The soil was there to produce the food that the people wanted. So were the minerals available in the mines, and so were energy products like oil and coal in great abundance.

Why then was there a slump? Why were tens of thousands of businesses ruined all over the world and millions thrown out of work?

Only because the world's money masters had decided things that way.

### ARTIFICIAL PANIC

What started the panic on Wall Street was that a spate of selling of shares was artificially created by certain people who knew exactly what they were doing. At the same time, rumours about an impending stock market collapse were deliberately put into circulation, leading to yet more selling — this time by millions of innocent and unknowing shareholders, in sheer panic.

The next thing was that many banks collapsed, as depositors sought to withdraw their money in order to have it in safe keeping. Under the 'fractional reserve' system that is a central pillar of the international financial set-up, enabling banks to loan money up to 10 or more times that which they had in their cash reserves, many banks simply could not meet these depositors' demands. They, and the depositors, went to the wall.

All this led to a chronic shortage of  
*Contd. on back page*



# Britain set for new 'Third World' immigrant deluge

SOME MONTHS AGO we reported on how a group of Tamils, purporting to be refugees from political 'persecution' in Sri Lanka, had descended on Britain, without any proper travel documents, claiming asylum. It will be recalled that when the immigration authorities at Heathrow Airport refused them admission the Tamils staged a 'strip' protest. A stay of deportation was rapidly obtained for this group of illegal entrants by pro-immigrant organisations, pending consideration of their case in the courts.

It subsequently came to light that the Tamils had reached this country as part of a well organised racket based in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, whereby Asians wishing to crash their way into western countries would be provided with counterfeit documents and cover stories, alleging that they had been driven from their homelands by political 'persecution'. It is calculated by many of the 'Third Worlders' seeking to move to western countries that the level of imbecile 'liberal' weakness now pervading the West is such that, once they have gained a foothold — with the aid of a hard luck story — in the countries in which they wish to settle, they will be able to line up the media, local politicians and 'public opinion' on their side. And when once they get an enquiry going into their 'refugee' status, the reckoning is that the law will operate to their advantage.

Now Britain's Appeal Court has ruled in what is, from our own national point of view, a disastrous decision with regard to 6 Tamils. The decision immediately affects a further 5,000 'refugees' — 500 of them Tamils.

In the name of a fatuous, feeble and ultimately self-destructive attitude of liberal 'humanitarianism' and 'compassion', Britain has long had laws on the statute book committing her to

accept as immigrants alleged victims of 'political persecution' from all over the world, regardless of whether such immigrants will make valuable additions to the British population or not. This law applies at the moment in practice mainly to alleged 'refugees' from Afro-Asian states.

## THE TORY RECORD

Mrs. Thatcher and the Tories, despite their supposed policy of restricting immigration (especially from Asia), have never in fact made the slightest effort even to modify, let alone repeal, this 'refugee' legislation. Indeed, it will be remembered how, within 6 months of taking office in 1979, Mrs. Thatcher was admitting Vietnamese 'boat people' (mostly ethnic Chinese) who could easily have gone, and should have gone, to China following their expulsion from Vietnam — not to Britain or the West.

Over the period when the more recent Tamil 'refugee' question blew up, the Tory government was bracing itself for a general election. In view of the Tories' total lack of practical action over the previous 8 years in regard to putting a stop to this or any other type of 'Third World' immigration, the initial refusal of the Home Office to grant the Tamils immediate entry into Britain must be seen purely as a sop to the British electorate, designed to 'con' them into believing that the Tories had some sort of 'firm policy' against Afro-Asian immigration.

The 6 Tamils who earlier this year took their claim for entry to the High Court were at that time turned down. The Appeal Court, however, has now reversed the High Court decision. Master of the Rolls Sir John Donaldson, sitting with two other judges, has ruled that, under the law as it stands on the admission of refugees to Britain, the legal test applied by the Home

Office in excluding the Tamils was incorrect.

It was on the grounds that the Tamils' fears of persecution did not appear 'well founded' that they had not been admitted straightaway. But, according to the Appeal Court, all that the Tamils were required by the law to prove was that their fears were 'genuine'.

What this means is that these cases, and no doubt all others in the future, are to be decided on the basis of what an applicant to enter Britain **claims** to fear, rather than on any objective proof. Potentially, such an immigrant could spin any cock-and-bull yarn and be admitted, purely on his own say-so, because nobody could prove that he was not 'genuinely afraid' of persecution back in his homeland. It would be the immigrant's word against that of the British immigration authorities, and the immigrant would be likely to win every time!

## NATIONAL INSANITY

With the backing of the vast hordes of sick white 'liberals' and bleeding hearts, the pro-immigrant lobbies, which in the present case have given aid and comfort to the Tamils right from the start, have thus been allowed to involve Britain in a potential commitment which is nothing short of insane when viewed in terms of her national interest.

In the case of the many Tamils clamouring for entry into Britain, there would appear — quite apart from any other consideration — little evidence that they even originated in the first place from the war-torn island of Sri Lanka rather than the ethnic Tamil homeland of Tamil Nadu in Southern India. But, whether or not 'refugee' status in their case is genuine, this should not confer any right whatever on Third Worlders of any



**CARGO THAT BRITAIN CAN DO WITHOUT**  
Tamils waiting on a boat moored in Harwich harbour (Essex) while British courts decide whether they can settle here

description to settle in this country, still less to expand their numbers by bringing over blood relations and 'dependants' from their homelands, as present British immigration laws allow.

War, revolution and persecution can only be expected to intensify in the overpopulated and chaotic conditions of the 'Third World'. Not only this, but also the growing poverty and starvation characteristic of most Afro-Asian countries will guarantee a continual stream of would-be migrants to the relatively affluent West. If westerners themselves are so weak-minded and foolish as to lumber themselves with the responsibility for these millions, they will have only themselves to blame if the latter come to regard their countries as some sort of 'promised lands'.

We say that it is time for Britain's

relations with the Afro-Asian world to be put on a realistic basis. In the first place, we as a nation owe no obligation whatever to these foreigners. Secondly, it is quite impossible for us to solve the problems of the 'Third World' — especially when the latter will not help itself. Thirdly, it is criminal for us to attempt to do so by giving Afro-Asians any sort of 'right' to our territory or resources — which it is our duty to reserve exclusively for our own folk.

Dealings with 'Third World' countries should be conducted on a strict calculation of what is good for Britain. If Afro-Asian states want anything from us, they should be required to give us something of value in return.

And in no circumstances should any more Third Worlders be accepted for settlement in our own land.

## SELF-DEFENCE AND SURVIVAL

**The politicians, having allowed the creation of a violent society, now want to prevent law-abiding people protecting themselves against it**

THE GOVERNMENT has been under pressure since the horror of the Hungerford massacre to change firearms laws. Now it is under pressure to investigate a magazine called 'Combat and Survival'. Members of parliament are displaying alarm at the crazy society they have themselves created here in Britain.

Murder, armed robbery, rape, riot and mugging have become ever more prevalent and the authority of police and courts are being undermined. It is in this climate of increasing crime and falling detection

that more and more people are acquiring their own means of self-defence. This fact is a clear indication that people have lost confidence in the ability of police and courts to protect them adequately.

If people were not genuinely frightened by the increase in violence, they would not feel the need to arm themselves in the way they are doing. That there is a market for such magazines as 'Combat and Survival' is proof enough that there is this genuine fear. The fear leading people to arm and to buy such magazines has intensified since the horror of Hungerford — the victims of which had no defence.

the law-abiding public in terms of their ability to defend themselves against violent thugs that they are about bringing the thugs to book. We have recently witnessed the case of Mr. Eric Butler, who, when threatened by a gang of black muggers on the London Underground, drew out a swordstick disguised as an umbrella and plunged it into the stomach of one of his attackers. Butler, 56 years old and with no previous record of law-breaking, was fined £200, given a 28-day

suspended sentence and ran up a bill of £3,000 in legal fees. He received no sympathy whatever from the court.

It would seem, from this verdict, that Mr. Butler should have done was let the mugger strangle him and rob him, as the latter tried to do!

Those who are responsible for passing laws which have brought about the collapse of peace and order in Britain are guilty themselves of crimes against the British people. They created this violent society and now they want the British people to be without the means to protect themselves against it.

JOHN PEACOCK

## WHO IS STIRRING UP 'HATE'?

IN A RECENT issue of *The Citizen* newspaper (October 8th) there is a prominent headline which says 'Hate paper sparks new terrorist fear'. The 'hate-paper' being referred to is in fact *Holocaust News*, which claims that wartime atrocity stories concerning 6 million Jews exterminated in Germany in World War II are untrue. This may or may not be correct, but we fail to see how to make such a claim could be described as 'hate'. Surely, to refute these atrocity stories is to eliminate hatred rather than promote it. The 'Holocaust' has the effect of stirring up hatred against Germans, an ethnic group just like any other. It is high time that this anti-German hatred was brought to an end.

For a sample of *Holocaust News*, send 20p to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

## YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — Please find enclosed my membership subscription for £6.

I have been, up to this moment, Carlisle and Cumbria organiser for the National Front. I now hope to induce the other local NF personnel to follow my example and join the BNP.

Why the change? Well, at first I thought that the latest ideological contortions by the leadership of the National Front (Libyan faction!) were some sort of practical joke. However, I now realise that it was all supposed to be serious. They really do expect the membership to adopt a North African nut-case as a figurehead.

*National Front News* is now too ridiculous to sell. Several people who have bought copies have approached me and asked for their money back.

I hope you will believe me when I say that I only stayed with the NF in the hope that it might improve. I was wrong. We all make mistakes and my greatest one was remaining in the NF and not joining the BNP years ago.

I read what you wrote in the September issue about the NF (and also the *Spearhead* commentary) and I agree with most of what was said. I agree with the leadership principle, your rejection of internal 'democracy' and your unequivocal stand on race, which to my mind is refreshing compared with the climb-down on this issue that has afflicted the 'political soldiers'.

I shall do my best to propagate the BNP message locally and, with a bit of luck, we might have a BNP unit up here soon!

J.K. WILSHAW  
Maryport, Cumbria

Sir, — The recent welcome back given to Cecil Parkinson at the Tory conference demonstrates what a load of worthless nitwits the Tories really are. Public figures like Parkinson should set an example in their private behaviour to others. Instead, as we know, the former Tory Chairman was unfaithful to his wife and sired a child by his former secretary.

I am also disgusted by the leniency shown by a court for a certain royal personage for a speeding offence, which shows a disparity in the law of the land. The law should apply equally to all, and the person concerned should have behaved in a manner befitting his station and the public esteem given to the Royal Family.

M. ELLIS  
London W.3

## SURVIVAL THE HIGHEST LAW

I have to admit that when a colleague of mine said "When my life is threatened, the law is no longer relevant — there is only one law and that is the law of survival," I found it impossible to argue. In the present violent society, such an attitude is not only understandable but entirely justified.

It would seem, however, that establishment servants are more eager to disarm



**ERIC BUTLER**  
Penalised for defending himself

## GOVERNMENT EDUCATION BILL IS A COP-OUT

**MANY TORIES are now hailing Mr. Kenneth Baker's new Education Bill as a great reforming move and a sign of firm government resolution to crack down on inadequate teaching in Britain's schools.**

In reality, the Bill amounts to a pathetic cop-out, an abdication by government of the responsibility to get a grip of our education system and the palming off of that responsibility onto parents and, in some cases, school governors.

Mr. Baker's Bill purports to give parents greater freedom to move their children away from schools they consider inferior and into alternative schools of their choice. It also is intended to allow parents and governors of schools to take those schools outside the jurisdiction of their local education authorities, by means of a ballot of parents, and without the schools forfeiting their government

grants.

All this amounts to is an attempt to introduce a kind of 'free market' procedure into British education. The idea is that those schools which lose children by reason of being found inadequate will be stimulated to improve. This theory has not worked in the economy, and neither will it work in education.

## TASK OF GOVERNMENT IS TO GOVERN

When the people elected the present Tory government, they elected it to govern. A government that is properly in charge of the situation, as a government should be, would use its authority to take hold of the inadequate schools by the scruff of the neck, fire the teachers who were dragging their standards down and **compel** them to fulfil their proper role in educating our

children. At the same time, such a government should likewise use its leadership to bring into line those local education authorities that are allowing standards to fall in their areas, again by ensuring that the responsible persons are fired.

As it is, the right of parents to move their children and of some schools to opt out of local authority control will simply result in the better schools being filled to overflowing while the poorer schools are left empty. This is no solution to the problems of education in this country.


The country desperately wants to see leadership from government, not a flaccid 'passing of the buck' accompanied by platitudes about 'free choice'.

The motto of the government should be 'govern — or get out'. This government has clearly failed to govern. It should therefore get out and make way for a government that will.

## So much for the Tory 'morality' crusade!

### CECIL TAKES THE CHEERS

Former Tory Party Chairman Cecil Parkinson is shown here receiving a standing ovation at the recent Tory conference in Blackpool — before he even started his speech! Parkinson, it will be remembered, caused a public scandal by putting his secretary in the family way, despite being married and having a family. In a very short time he has been reinstated as one of the Tory heroes — and this by the party that claims to place itself in the vanguard of a new crusade to restore 'morality' to British life. Have you ever heard of such utter hypocrisy?





# DEWSBURY BAN SHOWS ASIAN POWER

Council and police capitulate to threats against BNP

EVENTS in Dewsbury (Yorks) last month underlined what *British Nationalist* has been saying for years: that ethnic minority groups in certain areas of Britain now command **real power** by way of their ability to put pressure on local authorities.

The BNP applied to hold a march and rally in the town on October 17th in sympathy with the local parents who hit national headlines by removing their children from a predominantly Asian school. As soon as the BNP announced its intentions, local Asians got together and threatened violence and mayhem if either of the two events were allowed to take place.

The purpose of the BNP on the day was not in fact to attack Asians as such but only to point out the failure and futility of multi-racial education and advocate segregated schools in the interests of all races alike — pending a more permanent solution to the problems of racial tensions in Britain. Nevertheless, the Chief Constable

for West Yorkshire obediently capitulated to the Asians' demands by applying to the Home Secretary for a ban on the march through the town, which the latter, equally obediently, granted.

At the same time the local town council showed similar deference to the Asians' wishes by refusing the BNP the hire of a public hall for the rally which was to follow the march.

Determined not to be prevented from conducting its campaign in Dewsbury, the BNP organised a team of activists to visit the town on the day for the purpose of a paper sale and leaflet drive, having a special leaflet printed for the purpose. As soon as the team arrived at its rendezvous outside the local railway station, it was greeted by a large presence of police, and the leading officer present told the BNP men that they would have to disperse and leave town immediately or be arrested.

Such is 'democracy' and 'freedom' in Britain today.

## IMITATING THE GUTTER PRESS?

THE REPORT reproduced here is from *The Flag*, organ of the National Front Support Group. It claims, as can be seen, that our Editor recently spoke at an NF meeting in Fareham (Hants).

Our Editor would like to make it clear that he never was anywhere near the meeting in question when claimed, that he does not normally speak at meetings and would most certainly not speak at a meeting of the NF, in Fareham or anywhere else. Is *The Flag* now trying to rival *Searchlight*?

## BNP Recordings

### RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 11th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall.

### VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the nationalist unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others; Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on 'The coming British Revolution'.

### TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the worldwide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); Side 2: Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

### TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); Side 2: Talk on 'The tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of the results of the 2nd World War).

### TYNDALL SPEAKS III

Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate' (theme self-explanatory); Side 2: Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial-nationalist state).

These 5 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 24p postage) from: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

### SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the *British Nationalist Party*. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

### BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

*British Nationalist* retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers order ONLY in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 37p post  
25 copies £2.70 + £1.00 post  
50 copies £4.80 + £2.00 post  
100 copies £8.40 + £2.45 post  
150 copies £12.00 + £2.65 post  
200 copies £15.00 + £2.90 post  
300 copies £21.00 + £3.10 post  
400 copies £26.40 + £3.40 post  
500 copies £32.00 ) Bulk rates  
1,000 copies £62.00 ) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

## LUNATIC WORLD OF FINANCE

(Contd. from page 2)

money in the producing section of the world's economy (always the key section). The banks remaining had to call in their loans. There were bankruptcies everywhere. Industry simply did not have the money to keep going.

It is perhaps too early to say whether the stock market panic which started last month will lead to a slump of the kind experienced in the 1930s.

But what we can say already is that the manner of the big drop in share prices was just one more indication of the insanity of the international financial system. As in the 1930s, the companies whose shares suddenly took a dive were, in most cases, just as sound the day after the dive started as they were the day before. Again, the means of production remained intact. All over the world factories were still standing, their workers capable of working and with their skills unimpaired. So also did the consumer require their products just as before.

Nothing whatever had happened, in physical terms, to cause any economic downturn. The whole downward spiral of shares was brought about by those who manipulate the stock market and control the means of information whereby the rises and falls on that market are communicated to the world.

## FRAUDULENT PRICE-BOOST

The recent arrests in connection with the Guinness scandal further illustrate the lunacy of the system. Certain financial 'insiders' were accused of participating in a fraudulent boosting of the price of Guinness shares by the practice of purchasing those shares themselves in return for 'payment' from out of Guinness funds. The price of the shares did indeed go

up as a result of this panic (this time a BUYING panic) and this greatly assisted the bid by Guinness to take over the Distillers group.

By this practice of phoney 'buying', Guinness shares went up from 281p to 353p in a very short time — a rise of more than 25 per-cent!

This did not mean for one moment that the Guinness company had increased in real value by 25 per-cent in that time; the whole trick was achieved by financial juggling on the Stock Exchange.

All this simply illustrates once again how ludicrous it is for the British economy to be tied to the international financial system, as a result of which the fortunes of companies may rise or fall dramatically as a result of factors which have nothing whatever to do with their basic financial soundness, profitability, productivity or efficiency.

The system also means that financial 'sharks' who have inside knowledge of the pending rise or fall in share prices, or can play a part in manipulating such rises and falls, can make fortunes overnight — without contributing one iota to the overall health of the national or world economy, without providing any valuable goods or services, and without creating jobs for one single worker.

Britain, to revive economically and prosper, must opt right out of this system and organise herself as a PRODUCERS' ECONOMY, in which rewards go to those who make the real contributions to genuine national economic well being. We should vastly reduce our international trade by producing all our manufactured requirements ourselves and conducting foreign trade only for the purpose of acquiring what we do not have the resources to produce in Britain.

And we should carry on this reduced international trade entirely without recourse to international loans and international finance, either by means of direct barter or by paying for our imports with cash on the nail.

## BRITAIN FACES ECONOMIC DISASTER

(Contd. from page 2)

complete national self-sufficiency in manufactured products is achieved.

At the same time, it should be the role of government to ensure that all the profits from economic activity in Britain are directed back into the British economy. The City of London must be prohibited from exporting capital abroad. It is also the proper function of government to make sure that there is a continual expansion of the money supply within the economy, kept up in exact proportion to real economic growth, so that there is always an effective demand in Britain for the products of British industry without inflation. For this purpose, all new money should be issued into the economy **debt-free** by the state, in accordance with the growth in the GNP and as a part of public expenditure.

The self-sufficient national economy organised along these lines would be a **producers' economy** rather than a **financial parasites' economy**.

Disgraceful and criminal as the wastage of the nation's oil resources have been under the present government, Britain could survive without North Sea oil, particularly if she turned her enormous coal reserves to use in the production of oil from coal. But none of the necessary steps for our survival will be taken until we have a **Nationalist Government**. It is up to everyone to work and fight for the day when this becomes possible.

## New Recruits and Past Members

JOHN Morse the editor of the *British Nationalist* newspaper was the guest speaker at the July meeting of Portsmouth NF.

The meeting which took place in Fareham attracted a number of new recruits as well as some welcome former members.

Portsmouth Organiser, Paul Marriott said he was pleased to see a some past members from Southampton getting active again with the aim of re-launching Southampton NF again.

## POLICE NO-GO AREAS

(Contd. from front page)

elementary fact that policing in these situations can only be effective if the element of surprise is present. The policy now being practised in 'ethnic minority' areas is to forego this element of surprise and thus sacrifice a priceless tactical advantage in the war against crime.

It is this fact that makes a mockery of all the ostentatious speeches made by the Prime Minister to the effect that she intends to 'toughen up' on law and order and 'strengthen the police' in the war against crime. The truth is that such speeches, together with the empty claims and promises of the Tories' 1987 election manifesto on the same subject (which, among other things, spoke of the resolution to combat drug-abuse), are mere empty air. There is no serious fight against crime. There is no strengthening of the police. There is only **capitulation** of the most craven and sickening kind before crime whenever it involves the 'ethnic minorities'. In reality, the 'Iron Lady' becomes exposed by these facts as just another of the many **jellyfish** who have presided over British affairs for the past three decades.

## SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £5 is required on all foreign currency POs and cheques and an additional £1 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

## Big events this month

Sunday, November 8th (Remembrance Day)

### YORK

March and rally in salute to UK and White Commonwealth war dead

BNP activists keep in touch with their branches for details

Saturday, November 21st

### GLASGOW

St. Andrew's rally (to be held on nearest convenient Saturday to St. Andrew's Day)

BNP activists keep in touch with their branches for details

## WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support for the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

## WRITE TO US

To: British Nationalist Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.  
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 25p ☐

I wish to become a full member and enclose £6  
(Half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

I wish to become an associate member and  
enclose £3 (no half-rate) ☐

Name.....

Address.....